

1 Michael P Zullo

2

3

4

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

5

6

MANUEL de JESUS ORTEGA MELENDRES, )  
on behalf of himself and all others )  
similarly situated; et al. )

7

8

v. )

Civil Action No.  
CV-07-2513-PHX-GMS

9

JOSEPH M. ARPAIO, in his individual And )  
official capacity as Sheriff of Maricopa )  
County, Arizona; et al. )

10

11

Defendant

12

13

Notice of Appeal

14

I Michael Zullo, Pro Se, hereby appeal the courts orders of the 30th day of October,  
2015 and 6th day of November, 2015, attached and any other orders related here to.

15

16

17

During oral arguments of November 6<sup>th</sup> 2015, Zullo Pro Se, pointed out to the court that  
on a number of occasions, on the record, the court is considering referring this  
matter to the US Attorney and has even gone as far as inviting the US Attorney into  
the courtroom of this civil proceeding.

18

19

20

21

22

Zullo, a witness in the matter, is gravely concerned that his 5th amendment right  
against self-incrimination and 6th amendment right to counsel, may be violated if  
compelled to testify without benefit of counsel, therefore had requested the court  
stay the courts previous order compelling Zullo's deposition and subsequent testimony  
for 21 days, thus allowing Zullo adequate time to obtain proper counsel and address

23

24

25

26

27


28

1 this very complex situation and ensure his 5<sup>th</sup> and 6th amendment rights are not being  
2 violated.

3  
4 During the court's ruling denying Zullo's motion, the court pointed out that Zullo was  
5 a witness, not a party to this civil case, however, the court made the very ominous  
6 acknowledgement "the U.S. government may choose to prosecute you".

7  
8 This very acknowledgement by the court confirms Zullo's legitimate distress and  
9 acknowledgement of the very present danger that even an innocent witness's truthful  
10 responses may provide the government with some type of incriminating evidence,  
11 innocently proceeding from the witness's own mouth, that conceivably Zullo's compelled  
12 testimony, without benefit of counsel may well result in the filing of criminal  
13 charges against him.

14  
15  
16  
17  
18 Dated this 9 day of November, 2015

19   
20 Michael P Zullo Pro Se

1 I certify that on November 9, 2015, I emailed this document to these lawyers.

2

3 Stanley Young, Esq.  
4 Andrew Carl Byrnes, Esq.  
5 333 Twin Dolphin Road  
6 Redwood Shores, California 94065

5

6 Daniel Pochoda, Esq.  
7 3707 N. 7th Street, Suite 235  
8 Phoenix, Arizona 85014

8

9 Cecilia D. Wang, Esq.  
10 39 Drumm Street  
11 San Francisco, California

10

11

12 Thomas P. Liddy, Esq.  
13 222 North Central Avenue, Suite 1100 Phoenix, Arizona 85005

13

14 Michele M. Iafrate, Esq. 649 North Second Avenue  
15 Phoenix, Arizona 85003

15

16 Deborah L. Garner, Esq. 649 North Second Avenue  
17 Phoenix, Arizona 85003

17

18 Melvin McDonald, Esq.  
19 2901 N. Central Avenue, Suite 800  
20 Phoenix, Arizona 85012-2728

20

21 Andre Segura, Esq.  
22 125 Broad Street, 1gth Fl.  
23 New York, New York 10004

22

23 Anne Lai, Esq.  
24 401 E. Peltason Drive. Suite 3500  
25 Irvine, California 92616


25

26

27 Jorge M. Castillo, Esq.  
28 634 S. Spring Street, 11th Fl.  
Los Angeles, California 90014

28

1 Richard K. Walker, Esq.  
2 16100 N. 71st Street, Suite 140  
3 Scottsdale, Arizona 85254-2236

4   
5 Michael P Zullo

6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28