EXHIBIT A

In The Matter Of:

Melendres vs.
Arpaio

Michael Zullo October 23, 2015 Video Deposition

Griffin & Associates Court Reporters

2398 E. Camelback Road, Suite 260 Phoenix, AZ 85016

www.arizonacourtreporters.com

602.264.2230

Original File MZ102315.txt
Min-U-Script®

Michael Zullo - October 23, 2015 Video Deposition

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA Manuel de Jesus Ortega) Melendres, et al.,) Plaintiffs,) No. CV-07-2513-PHX-GMS vs.) Joseph M. Arpaio, et al.,) Defendants.) VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF MICHAEL ZULLO

Phoenix, Arizona October 23, 2015 9:00 a.m.

REPORTED BY:
MARISA L. MONTINI, RPR
Certified Reporter
Certificate Number 50176
PREPARED FOR:
ASCII/COPY
(Certified Copy)

Case 2:07-cv-02513-GMS Document 1507-2 Filed 10/30/15 Page 4 of 23 Michael Zullo - October 23, 2015 Video Deposition

			2
1	WITNESS	INDEX	PAGE
2	MICHAEL Z	ZULLO	
3	Exa	amination by Mr. Stanley	6
4		- -	
5		EXHIBITS	
6	NUMBER		PAGE
7	2256	E-mail to D. Webb from Dennis dated	
8	2200	June 29, 2014 (MELC202132) (2 pages)	_,
9	2934	Page 9 from a Pleading	9
10	2551	(1 page)	
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

	3
1	THE VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF MICHAEL ZULLO
2	was taken at 9:00 a.m. on Friday, October 23, 2015, at the
3	Offices of Legal Video Specialists, 3033 North Central
4	Avenue, Suite 100, Phoenix, Arizona, before MARISA L.
5	MONTINI, Certified Reporter, Certificate Number 50176, in
6	and for the County of Maricopa, State of Arizona, pursuant
7	to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
8	
9	COUNSEL APPEARING:
10	For the Plaintiffs:
11	Covington & Burling, LLP
12	By: Stanley Young, Esq. Michelle L. Morin, Esq.
13	333 Twin Dolphin Drive Suite 700
14	Redwood Shores, California 94065
15	For the Defendant Joseph M. Arpaio: Jones, Skelton & Hochuli, P.L.C.
16	By: John T. Masterson, Esq. 2901 North Central Avenue
17	Suite 800 Phoenix, Arizona 85012-2703
18	For the Defendants Maricopa County:
19	Walker & Peskind, PLLC By: Richard K. Walker, Esq.
20	16100 North 71st Street Suite 190
21	Scottsdale, Arizona 85254
22	For Tom Liddy and Christine Stutz: Broening Oberg Woods & Wilson, PC
23	By: Terrence P. Woods, Esq. 1122 East Jefferson Street
24	Phoenix, Arizona 85034
25	

Case 2:07-cv-02513-GMS Document 1507-2 Filed 10/30/15 Page 6 of 23

Michael Zullo - October 23, 2015 Video Deposition

```
4
 1
    COUNSEL APPEARING (Cont'd.):
 2
    For the United States of America:
                    United States Department of Justice
                         Cynthia Coe, Esq.
 3
                    601 D Street Northwest
                    Suite 5011
 4
                    Washington, DC 20004
 5
    Also Present:
 6
                    Craig Onuschak, CLVS
                    Legal Video Specialists
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

	5
1	Phoenix, Arizona
2	October 23, 2015 9:00 a.m.
3	
4	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the videotaped
5	deposition of Michael Zullo Zullo taken by the
6	plaintiffs in Cause Number CV-07-2513-PHX-GMS styled
7	Manuel de Jesus Ortega Melendres, et al., versus Joseph M.
8	Arpaio, et al., filed in the United States District Court
9	for the District of Arizona.
10	Today is October 23rd, 2015, at
11	9 o'clock a.m. Our location is 3033 North Central Avenue,
12	Phoenix, Arizona. Marisa Montini is the certified
13	shorthand reporter with Griffin and Associates, 2398 East
14	Camelback Road, Phoenix, Arizona. Craig Onuschak is the
15	certified legal video specialist with Legal Video
16	Specialists, 3033 North Central Avenue, Phoenix, Arizona.
17	Counsel may state their name, firm and whom
18	they represent, beginning with plaintiffs' counsel,
19	please.
20	MR. STANLEY: Stanley Young and Michelle
21	Morin, Covington and Burling, for plaintiffs.
22	MS. COE: Cynthia Coe, Department of
23	Justice, representing the United States.
24	MR. MASTERSON: John Masterson, Sheriff
25	Arpaio and the individual contemnor.

	6
1	MR. WALKER: Richard Walker of Walker and
2	Peskind appearing on behalf of Maricopa County.
3	MR. WOODS: Terrence B. Woods. I represent
4	nonparties Stutz and Liddy.
5	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you.
6	You may swear the witness.
7	
8	MICHAEL ZULLO,
9	a witness herein, having been first duly sworn by the
10	Certified Reporter to tell the truth and nothing but the
11	truth, was examined and testified as follows:
12	
13	EXAMINATION
14	BY MR. STANLEY:
15	Q. Good morning, Mr. Zullo.
16	A. Good morning.
17	Q. We introduced ourselves before the deposition,
18	but my name is Stanley Young, and I'm one of the attorneys
19	for the plaintiffs in the lawsuit we're taking in
20	Melendres versus Arpaio.
21	Have you had your deposition taken before?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. How many times?
24	A. Four or five.
25	Q. When was the last time you had your deposition

1	taken?
2	A. Two years ago.
3	Q. What kind of lawsuit was that in?
4	A. It was litigation over a hard money lending deal.
5	Q. Are you represented by counsel in this
6	deposition?
7	A. No, I'm not.
8	Q. I'll tell you that there was a status conference
9	on October 6 where Joe Popolizio told the Court and the
10	rest of us that he was representing you, at least in some
11	capacity.
12	Is was that correct? Has he ever has
13	the Jones, Skelton firm ever represented you?
14	MR. MASTERSON: Objection; form, foundation.
15	THE WITNESS: Could I could I just
16	clarify something
17	BY MR. STANLEY:
18	Q. Sure.
19	A real quick?
20	I I don't want to waive any privilege by
21	answering any questions. My understanding as of two days
22	ago, there's some kind of cloud about what the County
23	represents me as and what they don't, and up until two
24	days ago, I thought I was going to have representation in
25	this deposition and itle some to light that I don't

create a delay for the Court if we -- if we don't go

forward.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Are you willing to go forward and answer questions today?

I'm not, sir, and I'm not trying to be an obstructionist. This isn't something that I had planned When this came to light, this -- when I -- this was actually done by me the day I received this, and I advised Mr. Popolizio that this really troubled me. position is this is an accusation of criminal wrongdoing and a civil process is being used in light of probable I have an issue with this, and this was supposed cause. to have been addressed at some point and something happened and it never was. I -- I'm not going to answer a I mean, I don't want to sit here and go, "I'm going to invoke Fifth" because I don't -- it's not that I don't want to cooperate. I can't cooperate under this, so I guess for all intents and purposes, I'm invoking the Fifth because I'm not going to subject myself to questioning with this kind of intention, this already in I can't do that. the mind-set of a judge. I -- I can't do that, and that's what that reflects. This states the That's the judge. It doesn't matter what you guys Court. think. It matters what he thinks.

	11
1	go further?
2	MR. STANLEY: Yeah, we can do that. I'll
3	just state that
4	MR. MASTERSON: I'm not sure we're going
5	further, but we'll see.
6	MS. COE: I know, but I haven't read it yet.
7	THE WITNESS: It's the footnote, sir, is the
	troubling part of it.
8	
9	BY MR. STANLEY:
10	Q. And I'll just clarify for you, in case it's not
11	clear to you, Mr. Zullo, I believe the footnote is
12	actually a brief that our
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. That we filed.
15	A. Yeah, it is.
16	Q. You know that. Okay.
17	MR. STANLEY: So why don't we why don't
18	we wait on a copy, then, if that's okay
19	MS. COE: Okay.
20	MR. STANLEY: Ms. Coe.
21	MS. COE: All right.
22	THE WITNESS: Well, ma'am she's the DOJ.
23	I'd like her to at least read it.
24	MS. COE: I can just read it if you pass
25	MR. STANLEY: Please. Oh sure.

	12
1	MS. COE: it down and give me a minute.
2	MR. STANLEY: Yeah.
3	MS. COE: I handed it over thinking I was
4	going to get a copy back.
5	MR. WALKER: What's the exhibit number?
6	THE COURT REPORTER: 2934.
7	MR. WALKER: Thanks.
8	BY MR. STANLEY:
9	Q. Well, Mr. Zullo, when I refer in this deposition
10	to the Seattle investigation, I'll be referring to some
11	work that you did with Dennis Montgomery, and at various
12	times, Brian Mackiewicz and Travis Anglin were also
13	involved in that.
14	Do you understand that?
15	A. I do, sir, but I don't want to answer any
16	questions.
17	Q. Did Sheriff Arpaio ask you to work on the Seattle
18	investigation in order to look at issues relating to
19	Judge Snow?
20	A. Sir, I just
21	MR. MASTERSON: Form.
22	THE WITNESS: told you I don't want to
23	answer any questions without an attorney here.
24	BY MR. STANLEY:
25	Q. Well and I understand your situation as you've

explained it. This deposition was set up earlier. It's certainly not our fault that you're in the situation that you're in.

A. Uh-huh.

MR. STANLEY: And I don't know whether,
Mr. Masterson, you have anything to say on this issue.
It's obviously put us in a difficult --

MR. MASTERSON: Well, to some extent -- to some extent it is your fault because it's your document that's accusing him, at least in his opinion, of -- of crimes. So nobody else in this room is accusing him of crimes except you, at least in his opinion.

MR. STANLEY: That is his opinion.

MR. MASTERSON: I don't represent him beyond the extent of preserving the privilege for my client, Sheriff Arpaio. So my conversations with Mr. Zullo, of course, are protected as attorney-client privilege, and his communications with Mr. Popolizio are protected as attorney-client privilege, but we don't represent him civilly or more specifically in this case, and taking into consideration his concerns, we do not represent him criminally. And that seems to be his primary concern here is that he has expressed Fourth Amendment, Fifth Amendment and other due process concerns about this proceeding that he wishes to have counsel for.

So I'm not going to advise him, but those are the concerns he's expressed, and they seem to have been raised in connection with the document which we're going to have an as exhibit to this deposition. don't have any advice for him other than I do understand the concerns he has expressed. MR. STANLEY: Yeah. Well, I quess my concern is with respect to the schedule in this case is that if there had been an issue with respect to his representation, it would have been raised prior to two days ago so we could actually have his deposition and -and proceed with the Court's hearing. So I quess I'm going to register some concern over the fact that, as Mr. Zullo has described, this issue as to his representation did not arise until two days ago, and we had a hearing about this -- or status conference, telephone conference, with the Court on October 6, and this schedule in timing was laid out. So I am concerned

MR. MASTERSON: We could -- I wish we could avoid a lot of things in this case, but we haven't been able to do that. This is yet another one.

disruption to the Court's schedule that could have been

that we're going to have an interruption here or a

avoided if these things had been addressed earlier.

25 ///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

BY MR. STANLEY:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Well, my last question, Mr. Zullo, was whether -and I may reword it a little here -- Sheriff Arpaio had
 asked you to look into Judge Snow in the course of the
 Seattle investigation. Are you refusing to answer that
 question?
- Α. I don't want to waive any privilege answering any question, and I know that's something that you guys do. You try to get me to answer a question and then you claim I waived my privilege. I don't want to waive my I'm sympathetic to the Court's calendar, but privilege. quite frankly, the Court's calendar doesn't trump my constitutional rights. That document is bothering me since the day I saw it. I've raised concerns over that I thought I was going to have counsel here. I document. don't have counsel. I don't have counsel on any level. Ι don't know what the ruse is with the County covering. When I wanted to get counsel earlier, I was told if I got counsel, the County wouldn't cover me. It's just so I don't think it make -- it doesn't make any confusing. So I'm sympathetic to that. logical sense to me. I'm not here because I don't want to cooperate. I'm just not going to answer a question and waive my privilege. you're answering -- if you're asking me one question with the understanding I'm not waiving any privilege, I have no

objection to answering one question for you, but I'm not waiving my Fifth Amendment privileges.

BY MR. STANLEY:

- Q. Well, let me explore this a little bit to see what you're comfortable answering and what you're not comfortable answering, and it may well be that after you obtain counsel, we'll have to resume the deposition.
 - A. Oh, absolutely. Sure. Whatever.

MR. WALKER: With respect, Stan, I think I have to raise an ethical question. This individual has stated that he's not represented by counsel. He doesn't want to answer any questions until he has the benefit of advice of counsel, and I think to press him to answer questions under those circumstances raises a significant ethical issue.

MR. MASTERSON: I think the issues are -- I might have the rule wrong -- but somewhere around ER 4.3 or 4.4 are probably good places to start with respect to unrepresented persons. I think Mr. Walker is correct.

MR. STANLEY: Well, Mr. Zullo -- and I understand that. Mr. Zullo, though, has answered a couple of questions here this morning, so I think I'm entitled to determine what kinds of questions he's willing to answer and what kinds of questions he's not willing to answer, and since we're here and in light of the schedule, I think

- 1 I would be remiss if I didn't do that.
- 2 BY MR. STANLEY:
- Q. Mr. Zullo, I certainly understand your reluctance
- 4 or unwillingness to answer questions without having
- 5 counsel, and I think, depending on what happens -- well, I
- 6 think we are going to have to schedule another session of
- 7 this deposition, but I do want to, since we're here, make
- 8 sure that we test or find out the limits of -- of your
- 9 unwillingness, and I'm going to do that by showing you a
- 10 document which was previously marked as Exhibit 2256.
- 11 It's an e-mail dated June 29, 2014.
- A. Mr. Young, can I ask you, are you with Covington
- 13 or the ACLU?
- 14 Q. I'm at Covington and Burling, the law firm.
- 15 A. Maybe I should call the ACLU for counsel.
- 16 mean, you guys are trampling the hell out of me here and
- 17 your client is the defender of civil rights. So you're
- asking me to look at documents. I'm telling you again,
- 19 now I'm going to tell you for the last time, I am not
- 20 answering any questions without counsel present.
- Q. All right. Well, let me just ask you this
- 22 question to test that.
- Is Exhibit 2256 an e-mail that you received
- 24 from Mr. Montgomery on June 29, 20 --
- 25 A. I'm not going to answer. I'm not going to look

- at the document. I want my lawyer. I need a lawyer.
- Q. All right. Well, it's helpful for me to know that that's what you're going to do.
 - If -- am I correct, then, that if I show you any document in this deposition, you will not look at it and you won't answer questions about it?
 - Mr. Young, you continually try to circumvent my Α. privilege. I keep telling you I want an attorney before I answer any questions, before I look at any document. came here right up until two days ago prepared to sit here and do just that. Now we have a representation problem, which I'm sure whoever this attorney is going to be is going to raise an issue with the County. I can tell you that's coming. I don't know what else they're going to I don't know how long it's going to take. I just want my rights protected. trying to stall you. I'm not the one that accused a witness in a document of criminal activity because that's referring to me. didn't do that. You did it.
 - Q. Okay. Well, that document, which is exhibit -- whatever it is to this deposition --
- THE COURT REPORTER: 2934.
- 23 BY MR. STANLEY:

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. 2034 speaks for itself.
- 25 MR. MASTERSON: 2034?

	19
1	MR. WOODS: 2934.
2	BY MR. STANLEY:
3	Q. 2934, excuse me. That document speaks for
4	itself.
5	Have you been aware of that document since
6	it was filed?
7	A. A couple days later I guess. Whenever I
8	whenever I got it. I don't remember when I got it. It
9	was relatively soon after because I'm the one who
10	highlighted it. I was like, "What the hell is this?"
11	Q. Sometime in June, then?
12	A. I would assume.
13	Q. All right. Well well, Mr. Zullo, I understand
14	that this weekend you're going to talk to an attorney
15	about representing you in this matter. I take it from
16	what we said earlier, that once that's done, you are
17	willing to reschedule a deposition time at which you can
18	be advised by your attorney as to whether you should
19	answer questions or not; is that right?
20	A. That's correct, sir.
21	MR. STANLEY: Okay. All right. Well, in
22	light of that, I think we are going to suspend the
23	deposition. Does everyone else agree that we can do that
24	and then resume it at a later time?
25	MR. MASTERSON: I do.

	20
1	MR. WALKER: I do, too.
2	MR. WOODS: Yes.
3	MS. COE: Yes.
4	MR. STANLEY: Okay. All right. Well,
5	Mr. Zullo, thank you for your time this morning. I think
6	it was necessary for us to clarify all of that, and it may
7	be that we will and I'll talk to Mr. Masterson and
8	Mr. Popolizio about this go to the Court to see what it
9	wants us to do, but thank you very much for your time and
10	apologies for the inconvenience.
11	THE WITNESS: I apologize, too. Thank you.
12	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:19 a.m.
13	This concludes the deposition with Media One.
14	(Whereupon, the proceedings were concluded
15	at 9:19 a.m.)
16	
17	MICHAEL ZULLO
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

		21
1	STATE OF ARIZONA)) ss.	
2	COUNTY OF MARICOPA)	
3	BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing proceedings were taken before me; that the witness before testifying was	
4	duly sworn by me to testify to the whole truth; that the foregoing pages are a full, true, and accurate record of	
5	the proceedings, all done to the best of my skill and ability; that the proceedings were taken down by me in	
7	shorthand and thereafter reduced to print under my direction.	
/	I CERTIFY that I am in no way related to any of	
8	the parties hereto, nor am I in any way interested in the outcome hereof.	
9		
LO	[X] Review and signature was requested.[] Review and signature was waived.	
L1	[] Review and signature not required.	
L2	I CERTIFY that I have complied with the ethical	
L3	obligations set forth in ACJA 7-206(F)(3) and ACJA 7-206 $J(1)(g)(1)$ and (2).	
L 4	Dated at Phoenix, Arizona, this 24th day of October, 2015.	
L5		
L6		
L7	Marisa L. Montini, RPR	
L8	Certified Reporter Arizona CR No. 50176	
L9		
20	* * * * *	
21	I CERTIFY that GRIFFIN & ASSOCIATES, LLC, has	
22	complied with the ethical obligations set forth in ACJA $7-206 (J)(1)(g)(1)$ through (6) .	
23		
24	GRIFFIN & ASSOCIATES, LLC	
25	Registered Reporting Firm Arizona RRF No. R1005	